



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Microbiology Research Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013 BMRJ 4220
Title of the Manuscript:	FERMENTATION PRODUCTS OF A β-MANNANASE-PRODUCING BACTERIA INHIBITS SELECTED POULTRY BORNE PATHOGENS
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-What is the fermentation product obtained from your experiment that can inhibit pathogens? -M&M same as your other MS so ... must rewrite it and not use same sentences. -2.4 : 1% with the same absorbance cant confirm that are same concentration... the result cant acceptable.. you should count or adjust with the same concentration. 2.5: this part for what? -Results are still not clear pls focus what is the product obtained from fermentation that can inhibit the pathogens growth? Mannanase or? How? Or the inhibition came from the competition of their growth or???? -Fig 2 not necessary to show. All fig that present the result of percentage of inhibition should summarize to one table or fig. Results and conclusion must rewrite because some are same as your other MS.	it was in my recommendation that much research be done on this topic to elucidate specific fermentation products responsible for the inhibitory action using this work as template. The methods is quite different from one reported in my other MS. The method employed for 1% with the same absorbance was a standard method known. I will appreciate if the reviewer can suggest better and detailed method apart from the one reported in this study. OK the results and conclusion are not the same as my other MS.
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		